IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 509 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Manohar Pancholi s/o Sri Kanta Ballabh Pancholi
2. Kanta Ballabh Pancholi s/o Sri Krishna Ballabh pancholi
3. Smt. Rukmani Devi w/o Sri Kanta Ballabh Pancholi
   All r/o Pancholi Niwas, Rishikul, Haridwar …… Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal, through
   its Secretary Home, Uttaranchal,
   Dehradun
2. S. H. O., Police Station – Dalanwala,
   District Dehradun
3. Payal Pancholi d/o Sri S.P. Pant,
   R/o Chankyapur, Lane No. 2
   Ajabpur, P. S. Dalanwala,
   District Dehradun ……. Respondents

……

Sri Pradeep Joshi, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri D.K. Sharma, Govt. Advocate for respondents 1and 2.
Sri Pradeep Lohani, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Sri Pradeep Joshi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri D.K. Sharma, Govt. Advocate for respondents 1and 2.

Sri Pradeep Lohani, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Sri Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners Manhoar Pancholi, Kanta Ballabh Pancholi and Smt. Rukmani Devi seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them now has become infructuous as the police after completion of the investigation has field charge-sheet against the petitioners before the concerning Court.

2. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

3. Consequently, the interim order dated 03.05.2006 also vacated automatically.

4. With the above order, CLMA No. 5122 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.)**
04.07.2006 04.07.2006

A